

R&D, Research, PhD, ...

In Image/Graphics related fields

R&D, Research, PhD, ...

1- I am sure I don't want to work in research-related fields

2- I am sure I want to work in research

3- I am not quite sure, I like R&D but maybe not research

Types of companies/labs

Private structure

Almost all the companies:

Google, Thales, Dassault, Adobe, Unity, AMD, Disney, Intel, Ubisoft, Epic Games, BUF, Technicolor, Mikros, ...

R&D organization is fully dependent of the company.

Public Research Labs.

- Labs of University
- CNRS, Inria

Standard research/teaching positions.

Standard “recruitment” process.

Public structures

- CEA, BRGM, IGN, ...

Can have +/- standard research/engineering position.

Can be more focus on usage than research labs.

Difference R&D vs public research work

Difference R&D vs public research work

Mostly do the *same things on a scientific/technical aspect*
Slight different objectives

Shared methodology

- Definition of the scientific/technical problem
- Search/analysis of the different possible approaches in the state-of-the-art.
- Choice of a solution, implementation(s) of some attempts.
- Analysis of the results
- Present your results

In a R&D company

Problem in the context of the company (not necessarily unsolved)

Usually compare to concurrent

- *The best w/r company criteria (cost, innovation on market, etc.)*
- *Not necessarily new*
- *To your colleagues, director(s)*

In a R&D company

Must be an unsolved problem in research

Compare to research publications

- *Must be new*
- *Supposed to improve a criteria*
- *To the scientific community (conferences, ...)*

Difference private R&D vs public research

Notes on some common “preconceived ideas”

- Research not necessarily more **theoretical** than in company
- Project not necessarily more **applied** in company than in research
- You are not working “**isolated**” in research
 - You have to exchange/share your work, almost all the time
- Being “**project manager**” is not limited to company
 - Actually, you necessarily have to be your own project manager in research

In image/graphics fields!

But some are usually true

- Public research provide lots of freedom
 - Main advantage of public research*
- Salary is often higher in private company

Do you want to work in research – some clues

Research-oriented

- You like test & explore your ideas
- You are motivated by novelty
- You like to focus on science & technics
- You like to be autonomous when developing your project
- You can be persevering on a project for a long time without losing motivation
- Having a proof-of-concept is enough for you

Company-oriented

- You like a clear path to follow and clear specification
- You are motivated by optimizing what you have.
- You like management, product life cycle, dealing with customers, market analysis, business, etc.
- You prefer to code in teams
- You prefer fast results and iterations. You like to change objectives.
- You prefer to see a complete packaged product

PhD – what is it

PhD formation is a:

- **Formation** to, and from, research
- A **professional experience** in research
- Leads to the production of **new scientific knowledge**

French definition

Arrêté du 25 mai 2016

Note:

- It is not a “school continuation” to get a diploma
It is a professional work from which you get your “first real” research experience
- It doesn't mean that you need to continue in academic job after PhD
In our field: a majority (~3/4) PhDs join private company after graduation

PhD should you do it ?

1- I am sure I **don't want** to work in research-related fields

- Dealing with market/investments/business, customers, etc.
 - Specific professional technical orientation (game-designer, cameraman, technical director, ...)
- => Maximize your practical experience in industry and products

2- I am sure I **want** to work in research

- Dealing with research orientation/direction in company
 - Becoming researcher, and/or teaching at University level
- => PhD is obligatory

3- I am **not quite sure**, I like R&D but maybe not research ...

- Scientific software development, new technologies, ...
- => Depend on cases, not a unique "best way"

Should you do a PhD ? Good/wrong reasons

- ***No other choice if you want to***

- *work in public research, University-level teaching*
- *work in a company and take research directions (research funding, ANR contract, etc)*

- ***Probably good reasons***

- *You are passionate by your scientific/technical domain, and aim at improving it*
- *You want to work in R&D in multi-national company*
- *You want to do you're a technical career at international (North America, North Asia)*

- ***Wrong reasons to do a PhD***

- *You'll be more paid (in France)*
- *It looks good on the CV*
- *Enjoying simplicity of teacher's connection/offer*

Wrong reasons to not do a PhD

- *I don't want to do 3 more years of studies, I want to start working.*
- *I don't want to do only theory*

How to choose a PhD? What should you check?

How to choose a PhD? What should you check?

“preconceived ideas” – common answers

- “Prestige” : PhD value = “University recognition”
- “Place” : PhD in USA will be better than in France
- “Subject” : You need to select the subject on which you are expert – same than your master specialty

How to choose a PhD? What should you check?

“preconceived ideas” – common answers

- ~~“Prestige” : PhD value = “University recognition”~~

*Does not count for academic career. Your PhD will be judged on your individual publications, not on the institution prestige.
(Can play for non-research focus industry who may be impressed by a “PhD from Stanford”)*

- ~~“Place” : PhD in USA will be better than in France~~

+ During a PhD you can easily collaborate/spend some month at other international universities.

Take care to the differences:

In North America: PhD ~ 5 years “PhD track” (starts with classes – like a master, before active research)

In France: PhD = 3 years, start directly pure research (you need a Master to start it).

- ~~“Subject” : You need to select the subject on which you are expert – same than your master speciality~~

*The general topics is important. You need to **want to spend time on it**.
You don't have to be specialized on this specific title, your class background can vary.
(phd title can be adapted)
Primarily cause of PhD failure: lack of motivation (not subject too hard)*

Looking for PhD, what should be checked

- Suggestion:

- **1- The General Topic & Spirit of the work**

- You need to remain motivated 3 years on this project (the hardest part at the end)*

- **2- Your supervisor & team**

- You will have to “work” with your supervisor for 3 years! Better that you like discussing with him, enjoy his way to work.*

- Human criteria (no purely good/bad supervisor): too much/not enough present, too strict/too vague, etc.*

- A good & active team can compensate for a quasi-absent supervisor. A nice supervisor can compensate for a distant, lack of team spirit.*

- ⇒ Doing 6 months master with your possible supervisor helps a lot to know where you “enroll yourself”.*

- The rest is less important, still look at it:

- phd precise title, university, environment, material condition, ...*

A few info about PhDs

- You need a supervisor + a funding plan.

- 3 main ways fundings types (in France)

Ideal situation: you do your master project with your future supervisor



- **Ministère** de la recherche (or ENS/X)

- Need to candidate (with your supervisor & subject) around April/May to the Ecole Doctorale. Highly based on your Master grade (classement).

- (+) Free subject; (-) Highly selective, preference to labs linked to your master

- **Funded project** (ANR, European, ...)

- All along the year, need to apply to it as any job. Check the mailing lists (afig, vis., GDR IGRV, etc.). Selection from the supervisor.

- (+) Majority of the PhD openings; (-) Subject is linked to the project

- **Industrial PhD/CIFRE**

- Need to be in a company enrolled as engineer (<9 months) + find an interested lab.

- (+) Good to pursue in R&D in companies; (-) Less for academic pursuit (beware of the company constraints or behavior)